HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Eagle Creek NFH - Coho

March 1997

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT)

HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Eagle Creek NFH - Coho

An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson 2375 130th Avenue NE Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy Bonneville Power Administration Environment, Fish and Wildlife P.O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2 Contract Number 95AC49468

March 1997

CONTENTS

| Section 1 | Executive Summary | 1-1 |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Section 2 | Facility Description | 2-1 |
| Section 3 | Compliance Status | 3-1 |
| Section 4 | Remedial Actions | 4-1 |
| Section 5 | Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries | 5-1 |
| Section 6 | Annual Operating Expenditures | 6-1 |

List of Tables

Table

- 1 Summary Program Information for Eagle Creek NFH Coho
- 2 Compliance with Performance Measures: Eagle Creek NFH Coho
- 3 Remedial Actions Required at Eagle Creek NFH Coho
- 4 Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: Eagle Creek NFH Coho
- 5 Annual Operating Expenses: Eagle Creek NFH Coho

6 Annual Operating Expenses - Eagle Creek NFH

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the Eagle Creek NFH - Coho program. The hatchery is located 40 miles east of Portland, Oregon along Eagle Creek, a tributary of the Clackamas River. The hatchery is used for adult collection, incubation, and rearing of coho and winter steelhead.

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) ÒStrategy for SalmonÓ and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit. IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility managementÕs response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.
- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

Eagle Creek NFH - Coho Results

The Eagle Creek facility includes 1 pond for adult holding, 75 concrete raceways, 10 starter tanks, and incubation facilities. Eagle Creek NFH was authorized under the Mitchell Act and currently operates as part of the Columbia River Fisheries Development Program - a program to provide for conservation of Columbia River fish resources. The goal of the hatchery is to help compensate for fish losses in the Columbia River Basin caused by mainstem dams.

The Eagle Creek NFH - Coho program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery was not meeting its adult return goal and needed to develop a smolt-to-adult goal. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the screen mesh criteria, water quality monitoring requirements, alarm requirements, incubation facility requirements, acclimation requirements for out-basin releases, and pathology-free water criteria, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery needed to develop specific incubation and rearing standards. The hatchery was not meeting the flow criteria for vertical tray incubators. The hatchery also was not meeting all the food storage, QC, and transportation requirements. In the compliance area for fish health policy, the hatchery did not have foot baths in the incubation facility. The hatchery also needed to develop a broodstock collection plan, spawning protocols, and a Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Program.

The specific areas in which the Eagle Creek NFH - Coho program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Develop approved genetics M&E plan
- Develop broodstock collection plan
- Develop exchange training details among other hatcheries and agencies

- Develop smolt-to-adult goal for IHOT Operations Plan
- Develop spawning protocols
- Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for IHOT Operations Plan
- Follow food manufacturerÕs storage recommendations
- Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of personnel before and after use
- Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transport vehicle interiors and exteriors
- Follow IHOT protocols for regional QC of feed production
- Follow IHOT protocols for wearing protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water
- Follow IHOT requirements for checking of water alarms daily and other alarms weekly
- Follow IHOT requirements for not leaving feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat
- Install 10, 16-tray incubators
- Install alarms at intake, adult holding ponds and incubation
- Install foot baths in incubation facilities
- Install security alarms
- Install telephone pagers
- Insulate feed hoppers and bulk storage
- Measure temperature of moist pellets at delivery
- Monitor and record DO and TGP
- Provide 3 acclimation ponds for out-of-basin releases
- Provide new screens to meet IHOT mesh criteria
- Review and follow IHOT Operations Plan
- Review IHOT flow criteria for vertical tray incubators
- Review final rearing/release size/release time strategy for changes needed to meet smoltification criteria
- Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite,
 and contaminants

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

Facility Description

Name: Eagle Creek National Fish Hatchery

Stock/Species: Coho

Winter Steelhead

Operating Agency: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Funding Agency: Mitchell Act (NMFS)

Location: The hatchery is located 40 miles east of Portland, Oregon along Eagle

Creek, a tributary of the Clackamas River.

Address: 34288 SE Rainbow Road

Estacada, OR 97023

Hatchery Manager: Mr. Douglas Dysart Phone: (503) 630-6270

Fax: (503) 630-7393

Purpose: Eagle Creek NFH was authorized under the Mitchell Act and currently

operates as part of the Columbia River Fisheries Development Program

- a program to provide for conservation of Columbia River fish

resources. The hatchery began operating in 1956. The goal of the

hatchery is to help compensate for fish losses in the Columbia River

Basin caused by mainstem dams.

Production Goal: Coho

Produce 1 million smolts for on-station releases

Produce 1 million smolts for transfer to net pens in Youngs Bay

Produce 1 million fingerlings for transfer to the Yakama Indian Nation

Winter Steelhead

Produce 200,000 smolts for on-station releases

Produce 20,000 yearlings for transfer to net pens at Oregon City

Produce 70,000 fry for transfer to the Clackamas Hatchery

Water rights total 116,730 gpm (260 cfs), almost all from Eagle Creek.

A spring is used for incubation. Approximately 150 cfs of the water

right is for power generation and is not used for fish.

Facilities:

Water Supply:

Adult Holding: 1 concrete brood pond - 41,472 cf

Incubation: 43 full stacks of vertical tray incubators - 688 trays

Early Rearing: 10 starter tanks - 96 cf each

Raceways: 39 raceways - 1,600 cf each (lower series)

36 raceways - 1,600 cf each (upper series)

Rearing Ponds: None

Satellite Facilities: None

Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin*Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (referred to as IHOT 1995 in this report).

The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1 Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure

Information (PMs General 1-2)

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

| Section 2 | Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4) |
|-----------|--|
| Section 3 | Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15) |
| Section 4 | Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25) |
| Section 5 | Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34) |
| Section 6 | Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38) |
| Section 7 | Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43) |
| Section 8 | Blank Forms for Additional Comments. |

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit. This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the Eagle Creek NFH was conducted on March 11, 1997.

The following is the five-step audit process:

- 1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
- 2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.

- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.
- 4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
- 5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

Compliance Status of Eagle Creek NFH - Coho

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (4) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the Eagle Creek NFH - Coho program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- N/A (not applicable)
- Yes (in compliance)
- ? (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

Table 1 Summary Program Information for Eagle Creek NFH - Coho

| Component | | Location | n of Adult Holding, Sp. | awning, Incubation, ar | nd Rearing | |
|---------------------|-------------|----------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------|--|
| | Eagle Creek | | | | <u>-</u> | |
| | NFH | Yakama | CEDC net pens | | | |
| Adult Collection | 4 | | | | | |
| Adult Holding | 4 | | | | | |
| Spawning | 4 | | | | | |
| Fertilization | 4 | | | | | |
| Incubation | | | | | | |
| green-to-eyed | 4 | | | | | |
| eyed-to-hatch | 4 | | | | | |
| Rearing | | | | | | |
| fry | 4 | 4 | | | | |
| fingerlings | 4 | | | | | |
| smolts | 4 | | 4 | | | |
| Acclimation/release | 4 | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | (| Compliar | nce Statu | IS | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|--|-----|----------|-----------|----|--|-----------------------------------|
| | | | 1 | | ı | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
| #1 | Are the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin | | 4 | | | Columbia Basin System Planning | |
| | management plan? | | | | | Production Plan, Clackamas Subbasin | |
| | | | | | | plan, and U.S. v Oregon | |
| #2 | Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery | | 4 | | | IHOT Operations Plan | |
| | operational plan? | | | | | | |
| | Is it understood by staff? | | | | 4 | | Review and follow IHOT Operations |
| | | | | | | | Plan |
| | Is it being followed? | | | | 4 | | See above |
| #3 | Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place? | | | | | | |
| | Do you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan? | | 4 | | | CWT and Missing Production Groups | |
| | | | | | | Reports | |
| #4a | Adult contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and | | 4 | | | Review of records | |
| | hatchery | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| #4b | Adult pre-spawning survival as compared with | | 4 | | | Review of records; in compliance 9 out | |
| | established goal | | | | | of last 9 years | |
| | | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
|-----|---|-----|-----|---|----|--|--------------------------------------|
| #4c | Egg-take as compared with established hatchery goal | | | | 4 | Review of records; in compliance 2 out | Improve adult returns |
| | | | | | | of last 8 years | |
| #4d | Green-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with | | | | 4 | Review of records; in compliance 4 out | |
| | established goal | | | | | of last 5 years; 1 year at 84% | None |
| #4e | Eyed-egg to fry survival as compared with established | | 4 | | | Review of records; in compliance 7 out | |
| | goal | | | | | of last 7 years | |
| #4f | Fry to smolt survival as compared with established | | 4 | | | Review of records; in compliance 3 out | |
| | goal | | | | | of last 3 years | |
| #4g | Production as compared with established goal | | | | 4 | Review of records; in compliance 2 out of last 3 years | Improve adult returns |
| #4h | Percent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with | | | 4 | | No goal | Develop smolt-to-adult goal for IHOT |
| | established goal | | | · | | | Operations Plan |
| #4i | Number of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults | 4 | | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | to meet basinwide needs | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | (| Complia | ice Statu | ıs | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|---|-----|---------|-----------|----------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | 1 | 1 | • | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
| #5a | Temperature | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Does your water temperature meet the criteria for | | | | 4 | Too cold in winter, and too warm in | None |
| | spawning? | | | | | summer, but overall not a problem. | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Does your water temperature meet the criteria for | | | | 4 | See above | See above |
| | incubation? | | | | | | |
| | incubation: | | | | | | |
| | Does your water temperature meet the criteria for |] | | | 1 | See above | See above |
| | | | | | 4 | See above | See above |
| 451 | rearing? | | | | | | |
| #5b | Dissolved gases | | | | | | |
| | | | | | <u>.</u> | | |
| | Is the oxygen level near saturation? | | | 4 | | No data | Monitor DO and record |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Is the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation? | | | 4 | | No data | Monitor TGP and record |
| | | | | | | | |
| #5c | Chemistry | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Ammonia (un-ionized) | | | 4 | | No data | Run analysis |
| | Carbon Dioxide | | | 4 | | See above | See above |
| | Chlorine | | | 4 | | See above | See above |
| | pH | | | 4 | | See above | See above |
| | Copper | | | 4 | | See above | See above |
| | Hydrogen Sulfide | | | 4 | | See above | See above |
| | Iron | | | 4 | | See above | See above |
| | Zinc | | | 4 | | See above | See above |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
|-----|--|-----|-----|---|----|---------|--------------|
| #5d | Turbidity | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Does your turbidity meet the criteria? | | | 4 | | No data | Run analysis |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | (| Compliar | ice Statu | ıs | Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|--|-----|----------|-----------|----|---|----------------------------|
| | | | 1 | | 1 | | Compliance |
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
| #5e | Alkalinity and hardness | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Does your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria? | | | 4 | | No data | Run analysis |
| #5f | Nitrite | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Does your nitrite meet the criteria? | | | 4 | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| #5g | Contaminants | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Aldrin | | | 4 | | No data | Run analysis |
| | Endrin | | | 4 | | See above | See above |
| | Dieldrin | | | 4 | | See above | See above |
| | Heptachlor | | | 4 | | See above | See above |
| | Chlordane | | | 4 | | See above | See above |
| | Methoxychlor | | | 4 | | See above | See above |
| | Lindane | | | 4 | | See above | See above |
| | Malathion | | | 4 | | See above | See above |
| | Guthion | | | 4 | | See above | See above |
| | | | | | | | |
| #5h | Pathogens | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | What portions of the hatchery have disease-free water? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Adult holding | | | | 4 | Disease-free water not needed; cold water | None |
| | - | | | | | | |
| | Incubation | | | | 4 | disease can be significant See above | See above |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
|---------------|-----|-----|---|----|-----------|-----------|
| Early rearing | | | | 4 | See above | See above |
| Rearing | | | | 4 | See above | See above |
| Others | | | | 4 | See above | See above |
| | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | | Compliar | nce Statu | ıs | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|--|-----|----------|-----------|----------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | <u> </u> | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
| #6 | Alarm Systems | | | | | | |
| | Do the following areas have alarms? | | | | | | |
| | Intake | | | | 4 | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | Install alarms at intake |
| | Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds | | | | 4 | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | Install alarms in adult holding ponds |
| | Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds | | 4 | | | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | |
| | Incubation facilities | | | | 4 | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | Install alarms in incubation |
| | Quarantine areas and facilities | 4 | | | | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | |
| | Water treatment systems | | 4 | | | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | |
| | Security | | | | 4 | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | Install security alarms |
| | Are there outside systems and buzzers in onsite | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | residences? | | | | | | |
| | Are water flow alarms checked daily? | | | | 4 | Review of records/Discussion | Follow IHOT requirements for checking |
| | | | | | | | of water flow alarms daily and other |
| | | | | | | | alarms weekly |
| | Are all other alarms checked weekly? | | | | 4 | Discussion | See above |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Is there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | maintenance requirements? | | • | | | | |
| | manitenance requirements? | | | | | | |
| | Are telephone pagers used? | | | | 4 | Discussion | Install telephone pagers |
| | | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
|----|---|-----|-----|---|----|------------------------------|--|
| #7 | Adult collection and holding facilities | | | | | | |
| | Do you meet the adult holding criteria? | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | Compliance Status | | | ıs | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|--|-------------------|-----|---|----|---|--|
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| #8 | Incubation facilities | | | | | | |
| | Type 1: <u>Vertical tray</u> | | | | 4 | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | Install 10, 16-tray incubators |
| | Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program? | | | | | | |
| | Type 2: | 4 | | | | | |
| | Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program? | | | | | | |
| #9 | Rearing facilities | | | | | | |
| | Type 1: <u>Raceways</u> | | | 4 | | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | Develop specific rearing standards for the |
| | Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program? | | | | | No written standards | IHOT Operations Plan |
| | Type 2: Volitional Release Pond Do you have an adequate number of units for the | | | 4 | | Inspection of facilities/Discussion No written standards | See above |
| | overall program? | | | | | No written standards | |
| | Type 3: Do you have an adequate number of units for the | 4 | | | | | |
| | overall program? | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
|------|--|-----|-----|---|----|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| #10 | Screening facilities | | | | | | |
| | Do you meet the approach velocity criteria? | | 4 | | | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | |
| | Are the fish screens regularly cleaned? | | 4 | | | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | |
| | Does the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria? | | | | 4 | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | Provide new screens to meet IHOT mesh |
| | Are rearing containers double screened for fish that should not be released to adjacent water? | 4 | | | | Released on station | criteria |
| #11 | Predator control facilities | | | | | | |
| ,,,, | Are your predation control facilities effective? | | 4 | | | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | Compliance Status | | | ıs | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------|---|----------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | DT/A | \$ 7 | ? | l N | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| #12 | Food storage facilities and quality control | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
| #12 | rood storage facilities and quanty control | | | | | | |
| | Does the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods | | | | 4 | Storage recommendations not filed at | Follow food manufacturerÕs storage |
| | (dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture) | | | | | hatchery | recommendations |
| | follow food manufacturerÕs recommendations? | | | | | | |
| | Does a regional quality control officer oversee | | | | | | |
| | production procedures and monitor: | | | | | | |
| | Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients | | | 4 | | Discussion. Regional QC officer does | Follow IHOT requirements for regional |
| | meet specifications? | | | | | spot checks or responds to hatchery | QC oversight of feed production |
| | | | | | | specific problems. | |
| | Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or | | | 4 | <u>.</u> | See above | See above |
| | other additives? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Analyze ingredients contained in the final food | | | 4 | | See above | See above |
| | product to ensure that feed specifications have been | | | | | | |
| | met? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Are the foods stored and handled according to the | | | | | | |
| | following criteria? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
|---|-----|-----|---|----|----------------|--|
| Moist pellets should not exceed 10°F at point of | | | 4 | | Do not measure | Measure temperature of moist pellets at |
| delivery. | | | | | | delivery |
| Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding. | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat. | | | | 4 | Discussion | Follow IHOT requirements for not leaving feed or feed containers outside |
| Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish. | | 4 | | | Discussion | exposed to light or heat |
| Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive temperatures (80°F and above). | | | | 4 | Discussion | Insulate feed hoppers and bulk storage |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | Compliance Status | | ıs | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for | |
|------|--|-------------------|-----|----|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|
| | | | | | | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
| #13 | Release facilities | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Do the release facilities ensure that fish are not | | 4 | | | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | |
| | subjected to adverse conditions? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| #14 | Pollution abatement facilities | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Do the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal | l | 4 | | | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | |
| | and state regulations (or good engineering practice)? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Are pollution abatement facilities operated correctly? | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| #15 | Transportation facilities | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Are the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT | | 4 | | | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | |
| | performance measures for transportation practices? | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | (| Complia | nce Statu | IS | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|--|------|--------------|-----------|-----|----------------------------------|---|
| | | DT/A | N/A Yes ? No | | N. | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| #16 | Broodstock selection practices | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
| | · | | | | | | |
| | Is the donor selection process document attached? (PM | 4 | | | | Existing program; does not apply | |
| | #40a) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting | 4 | | | | Existing program; does not apply | |
| | the hatchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c) | | | | | | |
| #17 | Spawning practices | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Were the appropriate number of spawners, male/female | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | ratios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g) | | | | | | |
| #18 | Incubation practices | | | | | | |
| | Are specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery | | | | 4 | Reviewed IHOT Operations Plan | Develop specific incubation standards for |
| | operations plan? | | | | , i | | the IHOT Operations Plan |
| | | | | | | | 1 |
| | Are incubation practices written? | | | | 4 | See above | See above |
| | Incubation Type 1: Vertical tray (see PM #8) | | | | 4 | Review of records/Discussion | Review IHOT flow criteria for vertical |
| | Do you meet the loading and flow criteria? | | | | + | Terror of feeding Discussion | tray incubators |
| | Do you meet the folding and flow effectia: | | | | | | day mododiois |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
|--|-----|-----|---|----|------------------------------|--|
| Incubation Type 2: (see PM #8) | 4 | | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| Do you meet the loading and flow criteria? | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | (| Compliar | nce Statu | ıs | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|---|-----|----------|-----------|----|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
| #19 | Rearing practices | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Are specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery | | | | 4 | Review IHOT Hatchery Operations Plan | Develop specific rearing standards for the |
| | operations plan? | | | | | | IHOT Operations Plan |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Are rearing practices written? | | | | 4 | Review Hatchery Operations Plan | See above |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Rearing Unit Type 1: <u>Raceways</u> (see PM #9) | | | | | | |
| | | | | 4 | | NT - 20 - 20 - 2 | |
| | Do you meet the density and DI criteria? | | | 4 | | No written criteria | See above |
| | Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria? | | | 4 | | No written criteria | See above |
| | Rearing Unit Type 2: Volitional Release Pond (see | | | | | | |
| | PM #9) | | | | | | |
| | Do you meet the density and DI criteria? | | | 4 | | No written criteria | See above |
| | Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria? | | | 4 | | No written criteria | See above |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Rearing Unit Type 3: (see PM #9) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Do you meet the density and DI criteria? | 4 | | | | | |
| | Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria? | 4 | | | | | |
| #20 | Smolt quality | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Do you produce a high quality smolt? | | 4 | | | Discussion | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | | Compliar | ice Statu | IS | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|-------------|---|-----|----------|-----------|-----|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| #21 | Fish health management practices | IVA | 168 | • | 140 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Are the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | conducted? (PM #26) | | | | | | |
| | , , | | | | | | |
| | Are the annual broodstock inspections being | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | conducted? (PM #27) | | | | | | |
| | conducted: (1 11 1121) | | | | | | |
| | Is there pathogen-free water (PM #5h)and are the | | | | 4 | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #5h and #28 |
| | sanitation procedures being followed? (PM #28) | | | | | | |
| | santation procedures being followed: (1 M #20) | | | | | | |
| | Are the following water quality parameters within | | | | | | |
| | criteria? (PM #5a-5g) | | | | | | |
| | Charles (Things og) | | | | | | |
| | Water temperature | | | | 4 | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #5a |
| | Dissolved gases | | | 4 | | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #5b |
| | Chemistry | | | 4 | | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #5c |
| | Turbidity | | | 4 | | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #5d |
| | Alkalinity and hardness | | | 4 | | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #5e |
| | Nitrite | | | 4 | | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #5f |
| | Contaminants | | | 4 | | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #5g |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Are rearing standards being followed? (PM #19) | | | 4 | | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #19 |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
|---|-----|-----|---|----|------------------------------|--|
| Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met? | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| (PM #31) | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | | Compliar | nce Statı | ıs | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|-------|--|-----|----------|-----------|----|------------------------------|---|
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| #22a | Does hatchery performance meet requirements | | | - | | | |
| | outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in | | | | | | |
| | subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas? | | | | | | |
| #22a1 | Percent smoltification | | | | | | |
| | Do you measure percent smoltification? | | 4 | | | Based on condition factor | |
| | Do you have a smoltification goal | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | Did you meet the smoltification criteria? | | | | 4 | Discussion | Review final rearing/release size/release |
| | | | | | | | time strategy for changes needed to meet |
| | | | | | | | smoltification criteria |
| #22a2 | Rearing density (prior to release) | | | | | | |
| | Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to release? | | | 4 | | No written goal | Develop rearing density criteria |
| #22a3 | Disease condition (at release) | | | | | | |
| | Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to release? | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| #22a4 | Number (at release) | | | | | | |
| | Did you meet the release number goal? | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| #22a5 | Size at release | | | | | | |
| | Did you meet the size goal? | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
|-------|--|-----|-----|---|----|--------------------------------------|---|
| #22a6 | Dates of release | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Did you meet the release date goal? | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| #22a7 | Location of release | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Did you release the fish at the specified location? | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| #22b | Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the | | | | | | |
| | subbasin? | | | | | | |
| | Are the fish reared in the subbasin? | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin? | | | | 4 | Discussion. Only Youngs Bay releases | Provide acclimation ponds for out-basin |
| | | | | | | currently acclimated | releases as needed |
| #22c | Is the release strategy appropriate for the program? | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | (| Complia | nce Statı | us | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|--|-----|---------|-----------|----|-------------------------|---|
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| #23 | Transportation facilities | N/A | res | • | NO | | |
| | Do transportation equipment and personnel receive disinfection before and after use? | | | | 4 | Discussion | Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of personnel before and after use |
| | Is the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of 200 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or formaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity of 60% for 2 hrs)? | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes? | | | | 4 | Discussion | Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of vehicle interiors and exteriors |
| | Is the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% stock solution/liter water)? | | | | 4 | Discussion | See above |
| | Is other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, nets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and other equipment using one of the following solutions? | | 4 | | | Discussion | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
|---|-----|-----|---|----|------------|--|
| 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes | | | | | | |
| 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 | | | | | | |
| minutes | | | | | | |
| 200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | | | | | | |
| Do personnel wear protective garments when handling | | - | | 4 | Discussion | Follow IHOT protocols for wearing |
| fish eggs or cultural water? | | | | | | protective garments when handling fish |
| | | | | | | eggs or cultural water |
| Do the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| an inspection and service prior to the release season? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Is a daily service inspection completed before starting | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | | • | | | | |
| up and leaving for the day? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | (| Complia | nce Statu | 18 | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|--------|--|--------|---------|-----------|-----|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| #23 | Transportation facilities | 1 1/12 | 100 | , | 110 | | |
| (cont) | | | | | | | |
| | Does the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | to loading? | | | | | | |
| | Does a pre-loading inspection covering tank water | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | level, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system | | - | | | | |
| | settings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling | | | | | | |
| | density tables checked and reviewed occur prior to | | | | | | |
| | loading fish in the transport unit? | | | | | | |
| | Do hauling criteria include checking the fish 45 | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | minutes to 1 hour after loading? | | | | | | |
| | When fish are active and systems are functioning | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | properly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and | | | | | | |
| | maintained at approximately 8 ppm? | | | | | | |
| | Is water temperature in the transportation unit | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | maintained within the 42-48 °F range? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
|--|-----|-----|---|----|------------|--|
| Do fish releasing procedures include the following | | | | | | |
| criteria? | | | | | | |
| Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| the correct water body. | | | | | | |
| Tempering or the difference between the liberation | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| tank and the target water body should not exceed | | | | | | |
| 10°F. | | | | | | |
| The liberation hose should be angled so that fish | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of | | | | | | |
| ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | (| Compliar | nce Statu | ıs | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|--|-----|----------|-----------|-----|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| #24 | Evaluation practices | | | | 2.0 | | |
| | Has the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies to: | | | | | | |
| | Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs? | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit? | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used? | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions? | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods? | | 4 | | | Discussion | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | (| Compliar | ice Stati | ıs | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|---|-----|----------|-----------|----|------------------------------|---|
| | | | ı | ı | | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
| #25 | Training practices | | | | | | |
| | Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its staff? | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | Does each staff member have a personal training | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed | | , | | | | |
| | annually? | | | | | | |
| | amuany? | | | | | | |
| | Does the hatchery routinely exchange training | | | | 4 | Review of records/Discussion | Develop exchange training details among |
| | details between other hatcheries and agencies? | | | | | | other hatcheries and agencies |
| | GOULD CONTOUR STATES AND AGOING | | | | | | |
| | Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | training of staff? | | | | | | |
| | Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings? | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | (| Complia | nce Statı | 1S | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|---|------|---------|-----------|-----|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| #26 | Are monthly hatchery monitoring visits being | IV/A | 165 | • | 110 | | |
| | conducted by a qualified fish health specialist as | | | | | | |
| | described below? | | | | | | |
| | Conduct visit at least monthly | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | Monitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | Examine a representative sample of healthy and moribund fish from each lot. | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | Review fish culture practices with hatchery manager. | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | Report finding and results of necropsies on standard form. | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | Recommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment. | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | Summarize fish health status or stock prior to release or transfer to another facility. | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| #27 | Are all of the functions of the hatchery yearly monitoring visits being completed as described below? | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| | N/A | Yes | ? | No | |
|--|-----|-----|---|----|------------------------------|
| Annually examine each broodstock for the presence of | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion |
| reportable viral pathogens. | | | | | |
| Annually screen each salmon broodstock for the presence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> . | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion |
| Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of qualified fish health specialist. | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | (| Complia | nce Statu | ıs | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|--|------|------------|-----------|----|-------------------------------------|---|
| | | DT/A | 3 7 | | | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| #28 | Is the hatchery following accepted sanitation | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
| | procedures? | | | | | | |
| | Are there any sources of pathogen-free water, | | | | 4 | Discussion | None |
| | especially for incubation and early rearing? | | | | | | |
| | Are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and | | | | | | |
| | being followed as described below? | | | | | | |
| | Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor? | | 4 | | | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | |
| | Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the | | | | 4 | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | Install foot baths in incubation facility |
| | incubation facilityÕs entrance and exit? | | | | | | |
| | Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock | | 4 | | | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | |
| | handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use | | | | | | |
| | elsewhere in the hatchery? | | | | | | |
| | Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior | | 4 | | | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | |
| | its use in another pond and/or lot of fish? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
|--|-----|-----|---|----|-------------------------------------|--|
| Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer | | 4 | | | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | |
| fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with | | | | | | |
| any other fish lots or at any other location? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed | | 4 | | | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | |
| and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Are dead fish properly disposed of? | | 4 | | | Inspection of facilities/Discussion | |
| | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | (| Compliar | ice Statu | ıs | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|---|-----|----------|-----------|----|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | | ı | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
| #29 | Are water quality parameters being followed? | | | | | | |
| | Are the following water quality parameters within | | | ı | | | |
| | criteria? (PM #5a-5g) | | | | | | |
| | Water temperature | | | | 4 | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #5a |
| | Dissolved gases | | | 4 | | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #5b |
| | Chemistry | | | 4 | | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #5c |
| | Turbidity | | | 4 | | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #5d |
| | Alkalinity and hardness | | | 4 | | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #5e |
| | Nitrite | | | 4 | | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #5f |
| | Contaminants | | | 4 | | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #5g |
| | Go to PM #21 | | | | | | |
| #30 | Are incubation and rearing standards being followed? | | | | | | |
| | Are the incubation practices following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18) | | | | 4 | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #18 |
| | Are the rearing practices following the IHOT criteria? (PM #19) | | | 4 | | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #19 |
| | Go to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19 | | | | | | |
| #31 | Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met? | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Table 2 | Eagle Creek NFH - Coho | Compliance With Performance Measures |
|---------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | (| Compliance Status | | | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|--|-----|-------------------|---|----|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
| #32 | Is the hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin | | 4 | | | Columbia Basin System Planning | |
| | management plan? | | | | | Production Plan, Clackamas subbasin | |
| | | | | | | plan, and U.S. v Oregon | |
| | Go to subbasin plan PM #1 | | | | | | |
| #33 | Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery | 4 | | | | Review IHOT Operations Plan | |
| | operational plan? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Go to operational plan PM #2 | | | | | | |
| #34 | Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place? | | 4 | | | CWT and Missing Production Groups | |
| | | | | | | Reports | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Go to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | (| Compliar | nce Statu | IS | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|--|-----|----------|-----------|----|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| #35 | Does the hatchery program meet requirements established in the regional hatchery policies and subbasin planning documents in the following areas: species, stock, broodstock collection location, broodstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy, and spawning and egg-take protocols? | | | | | | |
| | Does the hatchery program meet the requirements for the following? | | | | | | |
| | Species protocols (PM #1) | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | Stock protocols (PM #1) | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b for existing program; PM #39b for new program) | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c) | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-d for existing program; PM 39b-f for new program) | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----|---|----|------------------------------|------|
| Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e) | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g) | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | (| Compliar | nce Statu | 18 | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|---|-----|----------|-----------|----|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| #36 | Does the hatchery's performance meet requirements outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas: percent smoltification, rearing density, disease condition, and the number, size date(s), and location of release? | | 733 | , | | | |
| | Percent smoltification (PM #22a1) | | | | 4 | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #22a1 |
| | Rearing density (PM #22a2) | | | 4 | | Review of records/Discussion | See PM #22a2 |
| | Disease condition (PM #22a3) | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | Number at release (PM #22a4) | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | Size at release (PM #22a5) | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | Date of release (PM #22a6) | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |
| | Location of release (PM #22a7) | | 4 | | | Review of records/Discussion | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
|-----|--|-----|-----|---|----|------------|-------------|
| #37 | Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the | | | | 4 | Discussion | See PM #22b |
| | subbasin? | | | | | | |
| | See PM #22b | | | | | | |
| #38 | Is the release strategy appropriate for the program? | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | See PM #22c | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | Compliance Status | | | ıs | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|--|-------------------|-----|---|----|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | 27/4 | *7 | | | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| #39 | For new programs, has a broodstock collection plan | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
| | been developed? | | | | | | |
| #39a | Is the broodstock collection plan written? | 4 | | | | Existing Program; does not apply | |
| | For a non-captive broodstock program: | 4 | | | | Existing Program; does not apply | |
| #39b | Was an unbiased, representative sample collected? | | | | | | |
| #39c | Was the recommended number of broodstock collected? | 4 | | | | Existing Program; does not apply | |
| | For a captive broodstock program: | | | | | | |
| #39d | Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program? | 4 | | | | Existing Program; does not apply | |
| #39e | Were full-sib crosses avoided? | 4 | | | | Existing Program; does not apply | |
| #39f | Is the broodstock collection plan understood and being followed by staff? | 4 | | | | Existing Program; does not apply | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | |
|------|--|-----|-----|---|----|----------------------------------|
| #40 | For a new program, was the donor selection outline | | | | | |
| | followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock? | | | | | |
| #40a | Is a donor selection plan written? | 4 | | | | Existing Program; does not apply |
| #40b | Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the broodstock? | 4 | | | | Existing Program; does not apply |
| #40c | Was the target stock recommended in the donor selection process actually used? | 4 | | | | Existing Program; does not apply |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | Compliance Status | | | 1S | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|---|-------------------|-----|---|----|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | | 1 | | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | | |
| #41 | For existing programs, were the broodstock collection | | | | | | |
| | procedures followed? | | | | | | |
| #41a | Is the broodstock collection plan written? | | | | 4 | None provided | Develop broodstock collection plan |
| | Does the broodstock collection plan follow the | | | | | | |
| | guideline: | | | | | | |
| #41b | Was an unbiased, representative sample collected? | | | 4 | | Discussion | See above |
| #41c | Was the recommended number of broodstock | | | 4 | | Discussion | See above |
| | collected? | | | | | | |
| #41d | Were the broodstock collection procedures in | | | 4 | | Discussion | See above |
| | hatchery operation plan understood and followed? | | | | | | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | Description of Performance Measure | Compliance Status | | | ıs | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|---|-------------------|-----|---|-----|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | N/A | Yes | ? | No | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| #42 | Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female | 1 1/12 | 105 | • | 110 | | |
| | ratios, and fertilization protocols used? | | | | | | |
| #42a | Are the spawning protocols written? | | | | 4 | None provided | Develop spawning protocols |
| #42b | Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? | | 4 | | | Review of records | |
| #42c | Was the appropriate number of spawners used? | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| #42d | Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| | randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? | | | | | | |
| #42e | Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| #42f | Were the fertilization protocols followed? | | 4 | | | Discussion | |
| #42g | If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of each male/female cross? | | 4 | | | Discussion | |

 Table 2
 Eagle Creek NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

| PM # | PM # Description of Performance Measure | | Compliar | nce Statu | ıs | Basis for Compliance or | Remedial Action Needed for |
|------|--|------|----------|-----------|-----|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | DT/A | Yes | ? | NT. | Non-Compliance | Compliance |
| #43 | Is there a genetics monitoring and evaluation program | N/A | res | • | No | | |
| | in place? | | | | | | |
| | Is a genetics monitoring and evaluation program available? | | | | 4 | None provided | Develop approved genetics M&E plan |
| | Does the plan address the following elements listed in IHOT: | | | | | | See above |
| | Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4? | | | | 4 | Discussion | See above |
| | Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)? | | | | 4 | Discussion | See above |
| | Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)? | | | | 4 | Discussion | See above |
| | Is the program understood and followed by staff? | | | | 4 | Discussion | See above |

Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

The Five Types of Remedial Actions

| Туре | Description |
|------|--|
| 1 | Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery |
| 2 | Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures |
| 3 | Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval |
| 4 | Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures |
| 5 | Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly |
| | definable at this time |

Remedial Actions at Eagle Creek NFH - Coho

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Eagle Creek NFH - Coho program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates (\pm 40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action.

Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Eagle Creek NFH - Coho

| Remedial Action Required | Cost | PMs ¹ |
|---|---------|--------------------|
| Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human | | |
| control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery Improve adult returns Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or | | 4c, 4g |
| | | |
| Procedures Review and follow IHOT Operations Plan Develop smolt-to-adult goal for IHOT Operations Plan Follow IHOT requirements for shocking of water clarge deily and other | | 2 4h |
| Follow IHOT requirements for checking of water alarms daily and other | | 6 |
| alarms weekly Follow food manufacturerÕs storage recommendations Follow IHOT requirements for regional QC oversight of feed production | | 12 12 |
| Measure temperature of moist pellets at delivery Follow IHOT requirements for not leaving feed or feed containers | | 12 12 12 |
| outside exposed to light or heat | | . – |
| Insulate feed hoppers and bulk storage | | 12 |
| Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for IHOT Operations | | 9, 19, |
| Plan Review IHOT flow criteria for vertical tray incubators Review final rearing/release size/release time strategy for changes | | 22a2 18 22a1 |
| needed to meet smoltification criteria Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of personnel before and after | | 23 |
| use Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transport vehicle interiors and | | 23 |
| exteriors Follow IHOT protocols for wearing protective garments when handling | | 23 |
| fish eggs or cultural water Develop exchange training details among other hatcheries and | | 25 |
| agencies Install foot baths in incubation facilities | | 28 |

| Remedial Action Required | Cost | PMs¹ |
|------------------------------------|------|------|
| Develop broodstock collection plan | | 41 |
| Develop spawning protocols | | 42 |
| Develop approved genetics M&E plan | | 43 |

| Remedial Action Required | Cost | PMs² |
|--|----------|-------|
| Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage | | |
| or interval | | |
| Monitor and record DO and TGP | | 5b |
| Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, | | 5c-5g |
| hardness, nitrite, and contaminants | | |
| Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures | | |
| Install alarms at intake, adult holding ponds, and bation | \$3,000 | 6 |
| Install telephone pagers | \$5,000 | 6 |
| Install security alarms | \$10,000 | 6 |
| Install 10, 16-tray incubators | \$20,000 | 8 |
| Provide new screens to meet IHOT mesh criteria | \$8,000 | 10 |
| Provide acclimation ponds for 3 out-of-basin releases | \$3.0 | 22b |
| | million | |
| Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital | | |
| expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time | | |
| None | | |

Hatchery Contribution to

Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Eagle Creek NFH - Coho program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries:

Eagle Creek NFH - Coho

| Year | Fisheries (Broodyear) | Spawning Grounds ¹ (Broodyear) | Hatchery ¹ (Broodyear) | Total Combined Contribution (Broodyear) | Smolt to Adult Survival (percent) |
|------|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| | (Dioouyeai) | (Broodyour) | (Broodyear) | (=====, | |
| 1981 | | | | | |
| 1982 | | | | | |

Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

| 1983 | | | | | |
|------|--------|---|-------|--------|-------|
| 1984 | | | | | |
| 1985 | | | | | |
| 1986 | | | | | |
| 1987 | | | | | |
| 1988 | 34,735 | ? | 7,610 | 42,345 | 4.18% |
| 1989 | 6,969 | ? | 4,357 | 11,326 | 0.95% |
| 1990 | 906 | ? | 429 | 1,335 | 0.12% |
| 1991 | 319 | ? | 3,267 | 3,586 | 0.34% |
| 1992 | | | | | |

Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the Eagle Creek NFH - Coho program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in separate tables (Table 5a).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: Eagle Creek NFH - Coho

| Hatchery | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Eagle Creek Hatchery | \$366,734 | \$388,368 | \$379,425 |
| 2. | | | |
| 3. | | | |
| 4. | | | |
| 5. | | | |
| Total Program Costs | \$366,734 | \$388,368 | \$379,425 |

The total expenditures for the Eagle Creek NFH are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Tables 6a and 6b).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Eagle Creek NFH

| Program | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1. Coho | \$366,734 | \$388,368 | \$379,425 |
| Winter Steelhead | 122,245 | \$96,492 | \$113,335 |
| 3. | | | |
| 4. | | | |
| 5. | | | |
| Total Hatchery Costs | \$488,978 | \$482,460 | \$492,760 |

Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: Eagle Creek NFH - Coho

Expenditure Occurring at Eagle Creek Hatchery

| Component | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Personnel Costs | \$324,090 | \$333,993 | \$317,182 |
| Operational Costs | \$66,988 | \$97,045 | \$88,376 |
| Capital Costs | \$86,377 | \$39,081 | \$77,353 |
| Indirect Costs | | | |
| Lumped Hatchery Costs | | | |
| Lumped Third-Party Costs | \$11,523 | \$12,341 | \$9,849 |
| Total Hatchery Costs | \$488,978 | \$482,460 | \$492,760 |
| Source of Funds | | | |
| NMFS | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| | | | |
| Program Production (lb) | 141,157 | 192,367 | 112,098 |
| Total Production (lb) | 187,218 | 239,280 | 145,539 |
| Program as Percent of Total | 75% | 80% | 77% |
| Program Costs | \$366,734 | \$388,368 | \$379,425 |

When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Eagle Creek NFH by Program

Coho

| Component | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Personnel Costs | \$324,090 | \$333,993 | \$317,182 |
| Operational Costs | \$66,988 | \$97,045 | \$88,376 |
| Capital Costs | \$86,377 | \$39,081 | \$77,353 |
| Indirect Costs | | | |
| Lumped Hatchery Costs | | | |
| Lumped Third-Party Costs | \$11,523 | \$12,341 | \$9,849 |
| Total Hatchery Costs | \$488,978 | \$482,460 | \$492,760 |
| Source of Funds | ψ+00,370 | ψ+02,+00 | Ψ+02,100 |
| NMFS | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| | 10070 | 10070 | 100/0 |
| Program Production (lb) | 141,157 | 192,367 | 112,098 |
| Total Production (lb) | 187,218 | 239,280 | 145,539 |
| Program as Percent of Total | 75% | 80% | 77% |
| Program Costs | \$366,734 | \$388,368 | \$379,425 |

When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at Eagle Creek NFH by Program

Winter Steelhead

| Component | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Personnel Costs | \$324,090 | \$333,993 | \$317,182 |
| Operational Costs | \$66,988 | \$97,045 | \$88,376 |
| Capital Costs | \$86,377 | \$39,081 | \$77,353 |
| Indirect Costs | | | |
| Lumped Hatchery Costs | | | |
| Lumped Third-Party Costs | \$11,523 | \$12,341 | \$9,849 |
| Total Hatchery Costs | \$488,978 | \$482,460 | \$492,760 |
| Source of Funds | | | |
| NMFS | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| | | | |
| Program Production (lb) | 46,061 | 46,913 | 33,441 |
| Total Production (lb) | 187,218 | 239,280 | 145,539 |
| Program as Percent of Total | 25% | 20% | 23% |
| Program Costs | 122,245 | \$96,492 | \$113,335 |

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT

When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.